



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/410,367		09/30/1999	JEFFREY D. SAFFER	01413.0009	6759	
22852	7590	04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
FINNEGA	N, HENI	DERSON, FARAB	DASTOURI, MEHRDAD			
LLP 901 NEW Y	ORK AV	ENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WACHING	TON DO	20001 4413	2622			

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	 -			
		09/410,36	7	SAFFER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Mehrdad	Dastouri	2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the mised patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu- riod will apply and wi atute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 January 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 68-82 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 68 and 74 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 69-73 and 76 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 75 and 77-82 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers	•						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have bee ents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ or No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/2005.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 27, 2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicants' amendment filed January 27, 2005, has been entered and made of record.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 In Page 2, Line 10, U.S. Patent Application Serial Number should be included.
 Furthermore, in cases that an application has been patented, U.S. Patent No. should be also included.
- 4. The specification disclosure should be amended to include applicable portion of U.S. Applications referred to for the support of the claimed invention (Portions referred to in the remarks filed on January 27, 2005).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 75 and 76 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 2623

In Line 2 of Claim 75 and Line 1 of Claim 76, "claims 67-74" should be corrected to "claims 68-74".

Appropriate correction is required.

6. In Lines 1-2 of Claims 75, 78 and 81, "containing software for performing" should be corrected to "storing a software that when executed by a computer performs" in order to properly claim patentable subject matters.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 76 recites a device adapted to perform the method of Claim 75. However, Claim 75 is directed to a computer-readable medium.

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Art Unit: 2623

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claim 69 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over allowed Claims 14 and 15 of copending Application No. 09/409,260 (Now allowed). This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The instant application claim 69 limitations encompass all limitations of Claims 14 and 15 of the co-pending Application 09/409,260 (Now allowed).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other

Art Unit: 2623

copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 69-73 would be allowable by submitting a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) to overcome nonstatutory double patenting rejection set forth in the office Action.
- 12. Claim 76 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome 112 second paragraph rejection and claim objection set forth in the office Action.
- 13. Claims 75 and 77-82 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome Claim objections set forth in the office Action.
- 14. Claims 68 and 74 are allowed.

Contact Information

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (571) 272-7418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (571) 272-7414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mehrdad Dastouri Primary examiner Art Unit 2623 April 14, 2005 MEHRDAD DASTOURI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastine.